DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.8858

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **5** (1): 1023-1029 (2017)





Research Article

Constraints Faced by Vegetable Growers while Marketing their Produce

Prashant Maratha¹* and S.K. Badodiya²

¹Ex-PG Student, ²Principal Scientist, K.V.K., Badwanni, R.V.S.K.V.V., Gwalior (MP) *Corresponding Author E-mail: prashantmaratha@gmail.com Received: 3.01.2017 | Revised: 15.02.2017 | Accepted: 19.02.2017

ABSTRACT

Marketing behavior of a farmer is influenced by several factors. The study was conducted purposively in Morar block of Gwalior district to assess the marketing behaviour of vegetable growers. The total of 120 vegetable growers formed the sample for the study. The primary data were collected through personal interview method with the help of pre-tested interview schedule which was prepared on the basis of objectives of investigation and variables. The statistical tests and procedures were used for analyzing the data. With the help of statistical tools like- mean, S.D., percentage, and Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation, multiple correlation and regression analysis were used for analysis of data. We found that majority of the respondents had medium (70.00%) to high level of marketing behavior. The major constraints expressed by vegetable growers were fluctuations in the market price (87.50%), followed by lack of market information and high commission charges (77.50%) and lack of processing facilities (67.50%) and faulty system of weighing(57.50%), delayed cash payment (51.16%), high cost of transportation (45.00%), absence of storage facilities (43.33%), followed by markets are far away (30.00%) and No grading facilities (21.66%).

Keywords: Marketing behavior, Vegetable growers

INTRODUCTION

India is principally a vegetarian country and second largest producer of vegetables, next to China. Vegetable forms the most nutritive menu of man and tone up his energy and vigor. Vegetable development depends not only on production but also on marketing system. Vegetable cultivation being labour intensive can substantially increase employment avenues too. The production and productivity have to be stepped up by availing the available advanced technology. For this reason, adequate production and even distribution of food has of late become a high priority global concern. Agricultural marketing is mainly the buying and selling of agricultural products. In earlier days when the village economy was more or less self-sufficient the marketing of agricultural products presented no difficulty as the farmer sold his produce to the consumer on a cash or barter basis.

Cite this article: Maratha, P. and Badodiya, S.K., Constraints Faced by Vegetable Growers while Marketing their Produce, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(1): 1023-1029 (2017). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.8858

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

Marketing systems are dynamic; they are competitive and involve continuous change and improvement. Businesses that have lower costs, are more efficient, and can deliver quality products, are those that prosper. Those that have high costs, fail to adapt to changes in market demand and provide poorer quality, are often forced out of business. Marketing has to be customer-oriented and has to provide the farmer, transporter, trader, processor, etc. with a profit. This requires those involved in marketing chains to understand buyer requirements, both in terms of product and business conditions. Today's agricultural marketing has to undergo a series of exchanges or transfers from one person to another before it reaches the consumer. There are three marketing functions involved in this, i.e., assembling, preparation for consumption and distribution. Selling on any agricultural produce depends on some couple of factors like the demand of the product at that time, availability of storage etc. Sometime processing is done because consumers want it, or sometimes to conserve the quality of that product. The task of distribution system is to match the supply with the existing demand by whole selling and retailing in various points of different markets like primary, secondary or terminal markets. Products are sold in various ways. For example, it might be sold at a weekly village market in the farmer's village or in a neighboring village. If these outlets are not available, then produce might be sold at irregularly held markets in a nearby village or town, or in the mandi.

In practice, the key players each see the agricultural/ food marketing system from a perspective of self-interest and these interests are sometimes in conflict. Illustrative examples of some of the conflicts which typically arise are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Conflict of interest in agricultural/food marketing systems

Key Players	Interests			
Farmers	Maximum price, unlimited quantities			
Manufacturers	Low purchase price, high quality			
Traders and retailers	Low purchase price, high quality			
Consumers	Low purchase price, high quality			

The farmer's interest is focused on getting the

best return from his produce, which usually equates to maximum price for unlimited quantities. Manufacturers want least cost, best quality produce from the farmer so that he can sell it at competitive, but profitable, prices. Traders and retailers want high quality and reliable supplies from the manufacturer or farmer, at the most competitive prices. Consumers are interested in obtaining high quality products at low prices. Clearly, there are conflicting interests here.

The problems of vegetable growers are numerous. however. lack of market infrastructure and price fluctuation seems to major bottleneck in the sustained be development of vegetable production. The vegetable marketing problems in rural areas have not been studied in a systematic way even though number of studies has been conducted the country. in Presently, development of marketing infrastructure to solve the problems of vegetable growers in rural areas is the primary concern of the government. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken with the following objectives.

- 1. To study the attributes of vegetable growers.
- 2. To determine the marketing behaviour of vegetable growers.
- 3. To enlist the problems of vegetable growers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted purposively in Morar block of Gwalior district due to highest vegetable production among the other blocks of the district. In Morar block, there are 169 villages. A list of villages where vegetable crops are grown was prepared with the help of RHEO/ RAEO and local leaders and 10 villages were selected randomly. After that, a village wise list of vegetable growers was prepared and from each selected village. twelve farmers were selected by using simple random sampling method. Thus, a total of 120 farmers were formed the sample for the study. The primary data were collected through personal interview method with the help of pre-tested interview schedule, which was prepared on the basis of objectives of investigation and variables. The interview schedule was thoroughly discussed with the member of the advisory committee and their suggestions were incorporated. The statistical

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (1): 1023-1029 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

tests and procedures were used for analyzing the data with the help of statistical tools likemean, S.D., percentage, and Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation, multiple correlation and regression analysis were used for analysis of data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Profile and marketing behaviour of vegetable growers: The data in Table 2 shows that most of the respondents (63.33%) belonged to middle age group and higher percentage (30.00%) of vegetable growers educated up to middle and primary school level followed by 16.66 percent of the respondents were

functionally literate. Majority of the beneficiary respondents (66.66%) belonged to level of medium irrigation potentiality, followed by both low and high level of irrigation potentiality (16.66%) and more than half of vegetable growers (65.00%) had a medium level of farming experience. The data in Table -2 indicates that maximum (38.33%) vegetable growers possessed up to 2.1 to 5 ha. of land. The data exhibits the distribution of vegetable growers according to their occupation. The data shows that most of the (70.00%) respondents engaged only in farming, followed by dairy farming + service.

S.No.	Traits	Category	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	SD
1	Age	Young (below 35 yrs)	24	20.00	44.50	9.88
	C	Middle (35-55 yrs)	76	63.33		
		Old (above 55 yrs)	20	16.66		
2	Education	Illiterate	16	13.33	2.06	1.18
		Functionally literate	20	16.66		
		Up to primary	36	30.00		
		Up to middle	36	30.00		
		Higher sec. & above	12	10.00		
3	Irrigation potentiality	Low (<29.38%)	20	16.66	42.83	13.45
		Medium (29.38%-56.28%)	80	66.66		
		High (>56.28%)	20	16.66		
4	Farming experience	Low (upto 5 yrs)	19	15.83	17.96	9.07
	8 F	Medium (6-10 yrs)	78	65.00		
		High (above 10 yrs)	23	19.16		
5	Annual income	Low (<1 lac.)	22	18.33	1.98	0.59
5	7 minuar meonie	Medium (1 lac 5 lac.)	78	75.00	1.90	0.07
		High (>5 lac.)	20	16.66		
6	Land holding	Marginal (up to 1 ha.)	30	25.00	2.26	0.91
0	Land holding	Small (1.1 to 2 ha.)	36	30.00	2.20	0.91
		Medium (2.1 to 5 ha.)	46	38.33		
		Large (above 5.1 ha.)	8	6.66		
7	Occupation	Farming	84	70.00	1.53	0.92
/	Occupation	Farming +Service	16	13.33	1.55	0.92
		Farming +Service+ Business	10	10		
		Farming +Service+ Business+ other	8	6.66		
8	Extension participation	Low(<1.4)	24	20	3.43	2.03
0	Extension participation	Medium (1.4-5.46)	76	63.33	5.45	2.05
		High (>5.46)	20	16.66		
9	Mass media exposure	Low (<3.92)	20	16.66	6.16	2.24
7	wass media exposure	Medium (3.92-8.40)	88	73.33	0.10	2.24
		High (>8.40)	12	10.00		
10	Mailateria				16.06	1.00
10	Market orientation	Low (<12)	24	20.00	16.06	4.06
		Medium (12-20.12)	80 16	66.66		
	• · ·	High (>20.12)		13.33		
11	Innovativeness in	Low (<9.39)	24	20.00	14.03	4.64
	vegetable production	Medium (9.39-18.67)	72	60.00		
C	Copyright © February,	, 2017; IJPAB				1025

 Table 2: Profile of the vegetable growers

	Maratha and Badodiya	Int. J. Pure App. Biosci	. 5 (1): 1023-1029 (2017)		ISSN: 2320 – 7	051
		High (>18.67)	24	20.00		
12	Knowledge about	Low (<9.51)	28	23.33	11.66	2.15
	vegetable production	Medium (9.51-13.81)	72	60.00		
		High (>13.81)	20	16.66		

Majority (75.00%) of the vegetable growers had medium level of annual income. The perusal of data indicates that majority (73.33%) of the respondents had medium level of mass media exposure and the 63.33 percent of respondents were from medium category of extension contact. The perusal of data indicates that majority (66.66%) of the respondents had medium level of market orientation and 60.00 percent of respondents were from medium category of innovativeness in vegetable production. Majority 60.00 per cent of the vegetable growers had medium knowledge level about vegetable production while 23.33 per cent had low knowledge level. Almost similar findings were reported by Ragupathi (1999) Badodiya et al (2010), Hanchinal (1999) and Shashidhar (2003).

Marketing Behaviour of Vegetable Growers:

It is considered as quality which can be acquired by an individual. It refers to the behaviour of the farmers with respect to marketing aspects of vegetables including time of sale, place of sale, marketing channels used and market prices. Marketing behaviour dimensions to be studied were inspired by Santosh Kumar (2008) and finalized in consultation with the marketing officials and social scientists. The statements used to analyze marketing behaviour of farmers are as follows. Reasons for selling at a particular period/time, whom, do you sell the produce, reasons to sell to a particular agency, where do you sell the produce, reasons for selling at a particular place. And on behalf of the above statements we recorded responses from the respondents.

The data presented in the Table 3 reveals that majority of the vegetable growers (85.00%) expressed that financial urgency was the major reason for selling vegetables at particular period followed by 80.83, 61.66, 54.16 and

32.00 per cent of them disposing their produce as it is highly perishable, non-availability of cold storage facilities, quality was not good and indebtedness of traders, respectively. Majority of them (85.00%) expressed that they sold their produce to wholesalers through commission agents followed by 42.50, 35.00 and 11.66 per cent sold their produce directly to the consumers to the traders through cooperative societies and to the government agencies such as hotels, respectively.

S.No.	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Reasons for selling at a particular period/time		
i.	Highly perishable	97	80.83
i.	Quality was not good	65	54.16
ii.	No cold storage facilities available	74	61.66
v.	Financial urgency	102	85.00
7.	Indebtedness to trader	32	26.66
2.	Whom do you sell the produce		
	Directly to the consumer	51	42.50
i.	To the wholesaler through commission agents	102	85.00
ii.	To the traders through co-operative societies	42	35.00
v.	To the Govt. agencies such as hostels	14	11.66
3.	Reasons to sale a particular agency		
	The agency is very nearer one	102	85.00
i.	The agency is worthy credit	74	61.66
iii.	I have no time to engage myself in selling directly to consumers	106	88.33

Table 3: Marketing behaviour of vegetable growers

	Maratha and Badodiya	Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (1)	: 1023-1029 (2017)	ISSN: 2320 – 7051
iv.	Immediate cash payment		83	69.16
v.	Previous agreement		94	78.33
vi.	Better price		97	80.83
4.	Where do you sell the pro	oduce		
i.	In the village		55	45.83
ii.	In the nearby bazaar		97	80.83
iii.	In the mandi		74	61.66
iv.	In the distant market		32	26.66
5.	Reasons for selling at a pa	articular place		
i.	Market is very near to place	_	97	80.83
ii.	The better transport facilitie	es available in the market	83	69.16
iii.	Better price are available in	the market	106	88.33
iv.	Better market facilities avail	able in the market	55	45.83

*Multiple responses

Most of the respondents (88.33%) expressed that their selling the produce to the particular agency is due to the fact that they have no time to engage themselves in selling directly to the consumers, followed by 85.00, 80.83, 78.33, 69.16 and 61.66 of them sold to particular agency mainly because of nearness to agency, better price, previous agreement, immediate cash payment and worthiness of the agency for credit settlement, respectively. Around 80.83 per cent of them sold their produce to nearby bazaars, whereas 61.66, 45.83 and 26.66 per cent of them sold in mandi, in their own villages and distant markets, respectively. Majority of them (88.33%) expressed that they sold their produce at particular markets because of better price and 80.83 per cent expressed that the markets were very near to them, 69.16 per cent told that, it was because of better transport facility, while 45.83 per cent opined that it was because of better market facility, respectively.

The overall marketing behaviour of vegetable growers:

The overall marketing behaviour of vegetable growers comprises a composite skill, the resultant of mix of many qualities and traits. The scores were assigned to the respondent on the basis of numbers of reasons for a particular statement i.e., score 1 for one or two reasons and score 2 for three or more than three reasons. On the basis of these responses, respondents were classified into low, medium and high categories on the basis of mean \pm SD.

Category	Frequency	Mean	S.D.
Low (<5.65)	16 (13.33)	7.06	1.41
Medium (5.65-8.47)	84 (70.00)		
High (>8.47)	20 (16.66)		
Total	120 (100.00)		

Table 4: Distribution of respondents according to their overall marketing behaviour

It is clear from Table 4 that the majority 70.00 percent of the respondents had medium level of marketing behaviour followed by 16.66 percent respondents had high level of marketing behaviour and only 13.33 percent of respondent had low level of marketing behaviour. The table also presents the data regarding mean score of marketing behaviour. The mean score of marketing behaviour was 7.06 and S.D. was 1.41.

Marketing problems experienced by the vegetable growers:

The contents presented in Table 5 revealed that fluctuation in the market price was the major problem (87.50%), followed by lack of market information and high commission charges (77.50%) and lack of processing facilities (67.50%) and faulty system of weighing(57.50%), delayed cash payment (51.16%), high cost of transportation

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (1): 1023-1029 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

(45.00%), absence of storage facilities (43.33%), followed by markets are far away

(30.00%) and No grading facilities (21.66%).

Problems	Freq.	%	Rank
Markets are far away	36	30.00	8
High cost of transportation	54	45.00	6
Fluctuation in market price	105	87.50	1
High commission charges	93	77.50	2
Delayed cash payment	71	59.16	4
Faulty system of weighing	69	57.50	5
Absence of storage facilities	52	43.33	7
No grading facilities	26	21.66	9
Lack of market information	93	77.50	2
Lack of processing facilities	81	67.50	3

Table 5: Problems faced by the vegetable growers

SUGGESTIONS

Agricultural marketing needs to be conducted within a supportive policy, legal, institutional, macro-economic. infrastructural and bureaucratic environment. Traders and others cannot make investments in a climate of arbitrary government policy changes, such as those that restrict imports and exports or internal produce movement. Poor support institutions, such as agricultural extension services, municipalities that operate markets inefficiently and export promotion bodies, can be particularly damaging. Poor roads increase the cost of doing business, reduce payments to farmers and increase prices to consumers. Finally, the ever-present problem of corruption can seriously impact on agricultural marketing efficiency in many countries by increasing the transaction costs faced by those in the marketing chain. New marketing linkages between agribusiness, large retailers and farmers are gradually being developed, e.g. through contract farming, group marketing and other forms of collective action. Donors and NGOs are paying increasing attention to ways of promoting direct linkages between farmers and buyers within a value chain context. More attention is now being paid to the development of regional markets (e.g. East Africa) and to structured trading systems that should facilitate such developments. The growth of supermarkets could have a significant impact on marketing channels for horticultural, dairy and livestock products. Nevertheless, "spot"

markets will continue to be important for many years, necessitating attention to infrastructure improvement such as for retail and wholesale markets.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that majority 70.00 percent respondents had medium level of marketing behavior about vegetables. The study revealed that majority 70.00 percent respondents had medium level of marketing behavior about vegetables. Most of the respondents belonged to middle age group, educated up to middle and primary school level, medium level of irrigation potentiality, medium level of farming experience, possessed up to 2.1 to 5 ha. of land, engaged only in farming as occupation, had medium level of annual income, medium level of mass media exposure, 63.33 percent of respondents were from medium category of extension contact, medium level of market orientation, medium category of innovativeness in vegetable production. And majority (60.00%) of the vegetable growers had medium knowledge level about vegetable production. The major constraints expressed by vegetable growers were fluctuations in the market price was the major problem (87.50%), followed by lack of market information and high commission charges (77.50%) and lack of processing facilities (67.50%). Majority of the respondents (81.66%) suggested for provide on-time and better loan facility, 75 per cent suggested to display the prices at each market

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 5 (1): 1023-1029 (2017)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

followed place, by access to market information (66.66%). Agricultural marketing needs to be conducted within a supportive policy, legal, institutional, macro-economic, infrastructural and bureaucratic environment. The growth of supermarkets could have a significant impact on marketing channels for horticultural, dairy and livestock products. Nevertheless, "spot" markets will continue to be important for many years, necessitating attention to infrastructure improvement such as for retail and wholesale markets. These factors can be taken care of by the implementing agencies in the state while selecting the beneficiaries for agriculture marketing development programmes.

REFERENCES

- Anitha, B. 2004. A study on entrepreneurial behaviour and market participation of farm women in Bangalore rural district of Karnataka. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric.Sci., Bangalore (India).
- Badodiya, S.K. 2009. Marketing of vegetables by producers of adopted villages of Gwalior district in Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, **13**: 105-106.

- Chandramouli, P. 2005. A study on entrepreneurial behaviour of farmers in Raichur district of Karnataka. *M. Sc.* (*Agri.*) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- Desai, J.D.; K.D. Solanki. 2013. Extent of adoption of market intelligence among the summer cabbage growers of Sardarkrushinagar district in Gujrat. *Gujrat Journal of Extension Education.*, **24**: 9-13.
- Maraddi, G.N. 2006. An analysis of sustainable cultivationpractices followed by sugarcane growers in Karnataka. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- Singh, R.K.; S.K. Dubey; R.K. Singh; R.P. Singh and D. Das Gupta. 2013. Studying the farmers' response towardstechnology interventions under assessment and refinement. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development*, 8(1): 29-35.
- Prashant Maratha; S.K. Badodiya and K.K. Chaurasiya. 2016. Entrepreneurial Behaviour among Guava Growers of Sawaimadhopur District in Rajasthan . Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development, 11(2):236-241.